

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 * * *

4 ARTANO AIDINI,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION,

8 Defendant.

Case No. 2:15-cv-00505-APG-GWF

**ORDER DENYING MOTION IN
LIMINE TO PRECLUDE DR. HERR
FROM OFFERING OPINIONS
BEYOND THOSE DISCLOSED IN HIS
EXPERT REPORT**

(ECF No. 46)

9
10 Plaintiff Artano Aidini moves to preclude defendant Costco Wholesale Corporation from
11 eliciting testimony at trial from its expert, Dr. John Herr, that addresses any topic or materials not
12 disclosed in his expert report. Costco counters that if Aidini's treating physician testifies at trial
13 about any opinions that he did not previously disclose, then Costco's retained expert should be
14 allowed to rebut this testimony by offering opinions that he did not previously disclose.

15 When a party intends to present a retained expert to testify at trial, Federal Rule of Civil
16 Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) requires that this party provide the other side with an expert report. This
17 report must contain "a complete statement of all opinions the witness will express and the basis
18 and reasons for them." *Id.* When a party discovers new information and asks its expert to change
19 his opinion, the party is required to disclose a supplemental report reflecting these updates.
20 *Mendez v. Unum Life Ins. Co. of Am.*, 2005 WL 1865426, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 2, 2005).

21 Costco first suggests that its expert should be relieved from having to disclose some of his
22 opinions because Aidini has not provided Costco with certain medical records. But Rule
23 26(a)(2)(B) does not say that experts must disclose opinions only if they are based on medical
24 records. Instead, they must disclose any opinions they plan to offer at trial.

25 Costco then suggests that Aidini's treating physician might violate the limits on treating
26 physician testimony, and if that is allowed then Costco's expert should also be able to opine on
27 things not previously disclosed in his expert report to rebut the testimony. But Costco offers no
28

1 authority or explanation to support its position. In any event, I have no evidence before me that
2 Aidini's physician plans to offer previously undisclosed opinions, and I have no information
3 about the specific content of those opinions. Nor do I have any specific information about what
4 undisclosed opinion Costco's expert intends to offer. Because I have insufficient information or
5 evidence to rule on these issues, I deny the motion. If either party introduces testimony or
6 opinions that violates the Federal Rules, then the opposing party can object at trial. The offering
7 party may then argue that it is "substantially justified" in offering the undisclosed testimony. *See*
8 *Yeti By Molly Ltd. v. Deckers Outdoor Corp.*, 259 F.3d 1101, 1106 (9th Cir.2001) (noting that a
9 party may avoid preclusion of expert testimony that was not previously disclosed if the failure to
10 disclose was "substantially justified").

11 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff Artano Aidini's motion in limine (**ECF No.**
12 **46**) is **DENIED**.

13 DATED this 10th day of April, 2017.

14 
15 _____
16 ANDREW P. GORDON
17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28